

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/529,698	Applicant(s) IKEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner Ernest G. Therkorn	Art Unit 1723	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) Terryence F. Chapman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ernest G. Therkorn
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed correcting the typographical error in claim 1 and the submission of a terminal disclaimer to place the case in condition for allowance. Terryence F. Chapman then authorized the change by examiner's amendment and indicated that he would submit a terminal disclaimer. .